

Complaint 2

Bridge IU v. Vision

The Election Commission has decided to dismiss this complaint.

While the Election Commission does not condone disrespectful behaviors of any kind between ticket members, there is not enough evidence presented to constitute this as an issue of stalking or hazing. It is not explained what happened during the incident referred to in the text messages. Also, the timeline of the incident is unclear, as the text messages would have taken place within 48 hours from when the complaint was submitted but not the event referred to in the messages.

Complaint 3

Bridge IU v. Vision

The Election Commission has decided to accept this complaint.

In reviewing past precedent, an Advisory Opinion from 2017 was about having dogs walking around with people in campaign shirts. It stated that *“If a member of a campaign is fortunate to have a canine companion then we cannot say that the dog’s primary value is consumed during the campaign and therefore not a campaign expenditure. However, if the dog is rented from a service or donated/loaned from someone outside the campaign, then the value would be consumed during the campaign and be a campaign expenditure.”* The Election Commission would ask Vision to reply explaining how the dogs were obtained, where they were located, and if they were used for campaign purposes. The Election Commission apologizes for any misguidance due to previous information stated through text message, as this was not intentional.

Complaint 4

Bridge IU v. Vision

The Election Commission has decided to dismiss this complaint.

The Election Commission was shown by Student Life and Learning (SLL) the email that would be sent out to tickets for the ballot. The Election Commission sent this to the tickets and asked for feedback from both tickets for two drafts of the email. The link for the ballot was included but not viewable when the Election Commission was given the draft. Both tickets did not ask for any revisions to the email draft of the ballot. When the ballot came out on Wednesday, the Election Commission also noticed the name was incorrect once the link was clicked to the ballot and asked SLL if it could be fixed. The Election Commission was told that it was too late to be changed. However, the Election Commission believes it is reasonable for voters to still know that “IU Bridge” is the same as “Bridge IU” especially since the names of the President and Vice President for Bridge IU were also listed underneath the name.